

**CROSS TAY LINK ROAD - POLL
SCONE & DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

Between November 2018 and January 2019 Scone & District Community Council undertook a poll outside Scone Post Office/SPAR, asking people:

"Looking at the current CTRLR route would you vote to keep it where it is or move north to edge of plantation in front of Muirward Woods?"

People were asked if they wished to sign a sheet with the statement:

"I believe the CTRLR should be moved northwards to avoid H29 and Scone Woods".

The results of this poll, and the list of signatories and comments received, were submitted to the Council on 21 February 2019. The comments are listed in this Appendix.

In summary:

248 people signed the poll, and 60 comments were received.

Of the 248 people (based on address/postcode provided):

- 223 reside in Scone;
- 24 reside outside Scone but within Perth & Kinross;
- 1 resides outside Perth and Kinross;
- 229 different households; and
- 227 households in Scone

Of the 60 comments:

- 20 state that the route should be moved;
- 1 supports the current preferred route; and
- 39 comments do not relate to the poll statement.

From National Records of Scotland the estimated population of Scone in 2016 was 5,050.
The poll equates to 4.4% of the Scone population.

Current census household (2011) for Scone is 2,222 + 158 (new builds from the housing land audit) is 2,380 households.

The poll equates to 9.5% of Scone households.

CTLR POLL

SCONE AND DISTRICT COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Handed to PK Councillors 21st February 2019

Dates of poll: Between November 2018 and January 2019

Venues of Poll: Outside Scone Post Office, Scone Spar

Poll Questions: Looking at the current CTLR Route would you vote to keep it where it is or move north to edge of plantation in front of Muirward woods

Results:

1. Estimates of Scone households range between 2350 and 2450 in number. 245 polls were completed ie 10% of Scone households
2. 100% of those polled asked for the CTLR to be moved north. There were 2 abstentions, both Council Officers.

Comments by signatories of the SDCC poll of the CTRL re-routing.

- This road should be moved
- Move the road north
- Stop building houses in Scone
- Please look again at your proposals
- Make the road 20 MPH
- Ridiculous plans, safety nil, not acceptable
- Moving the road is the best option
- Best option for new road. Just come out near airport
- Listen to local people!
- Be sensible
- Road should not go through housing
- Not suitable
- Move road to the north of the village avoiding the houses (that we don't want!)
- Move road further north
- Move north not through housing
- Not through housing
- Save the village and it's folk
- I agree (repeated by 6 signatories)
- Another item on which we were not consulted
- Why not north of NewMains
- No consultation! Why not put road other side of New Mains?
- No consultation! Why are objections from New Mains listen to??
- No consultation!
- No consultation!
- No consultation!
- Why put the road so close to the houses?
- Why close to the road?
- Roads and people don't mix
- Too close to houses – pollution both air and noise
- Keep to original plan!
- Move road north away from the village
- Move the road
- Move the road away from the village
- This type of road should not be through housing
- Ridiculous idea having it close to houses. Move north to airport
- So many problems – pollution, noise and fumes – pedestrians and children's safety–
Environment – the list is endless
- Road too close and too fast speed limit
- No infrastructure for these homes
- Move the road north
- Another shambles for Highfield Road- please let us be
- Same as above plus council are useless
- Ridiculous
- Common sense proposal
- No way can Scone take any more traffic through
- Scone cannot cope with the traffic and extra pollution
- Move north
- Hopefully sense prevails!
- Stupid for a main road

- This road must be moved
- Disruption to enjoyment of the village and green space
- Dead against this idea. Think again
- Very against
- Very against
- Yes, it should be moved
- It should move and not close Stormont Road to A94
- Please listen to local residents

Comments by Facebook users

<https://www.facebook.com/164855253552084/posts/1982401545130770?sfns=mo>

- North please. I don't think this road will alleviate traffic or congestion. People are not going to change their route to perth. Ph26rl
- Move it north to the proposed route of still in the plantation, but at the top of it, to preserve Muirward woods, but higher away from human residences.
- North please!!!!
- It seems to me that neither route is optimal. On the one hand, people object to having a "fast" 50-limit road passing close by. On the other, the trees deserve protecting - for being native, for their wildlife and ecosystem, for their amenity value to nearby residents. Further, a road that changes speed limit along its length is bad for flow; traffic-"calming", by its artificial nature, doubly undesirable. I suggest an alternative route, something like the attached (please excuse fat-fingered errors), which avoids the woods, the majority of the proposed settlement extension, and uses natural smooth curves to slow traffic gently where appropriate. It could use a roundabout to join at Newlands. Not that it matters but I'm from PH3.
- I prefer the PKC proposed route - that green space is gone - they are felling there right now so we've already lost it - I've traipsed those paths for decades - it will be a mess for years now. So sad as it was by far the prettiest bit of the woods 🙄 But it's a crop so it was always going to go. At least if the road is lower down the woods it will leave a large area to the north free for walking in. I basically would prefer to be over the road and into the woods as soon as possible 😊
- North looks to be better PH2 6QA
- The route further North, please PH2 6RE
- Move the road north, away from housing PH2 6QW
- We vote for the road to be moved away from human habitation PH2 6SD
- Of the two, north. But, it looks a very dotted line at this stage, so how about routing along southern edge of Langedge, then curve north to Brooniehill to avoid the housing, and join A94 north east of Newmains as shown. PH2 6QQ
- North please. houses will eventually cover the whole area anyway
- Try living in Bridgend!
- North please PH26RJ
- North ph2 6lz
- North ph26le
- North PH2 6TA
- North PH2 6PA
- PH2 6QQ away from human habitation
- The Highfield wood was cut down around 1966 and replanted, all the area to the north was plantation of some sort too. The roads through the plantation were built by Dow Bros using demolition materials from buildings demolished in Perth including the old Perth prison. None of that area is pristine native woodland. The only question is - how close to the north

of Scone do we want the road and how much recreational ground do we want on the south side of the road close to the 'village'.

- North away from residents
- Surely we should be preserving our broadleaf woodland areas not destroying them? Please give this some serious thought. The newly proposed road (north) cuts through the wonderful beech woods at Langedge, which are rated one of the finest in our area. Obviously I favour the PKR route. PH2 6SD
 - The new proposed route goes along the current path between the plantation and Muirward woods. It doesn't go through the beech trees. Sorry it's not clear.
- Stay where it is, the less damage done to the woods the better, especially to the beautiful beech woods at Langedge which you are proposing to put the road straight through. I walk in these woods daily and it would be awful to lose such a large chunk as proposed in your north route. PH26RB.
 - The new proposed route goes along the current path between the plantation and Muirward woods. It doesn't go through the beech trees. Sorry it's not clear.
- This will not make the slightest difference to traffic in Bridgend. The houses planned on the other hand will make a big difference to an already over congested and polluted Bridgend. Which is also a scary place to cycle.
- PKC route preferred, less damage to the Woodland. What happened to concern re habitat and wildlife? You now want road going through more woodland! Why? Question Who owns the land being cut through on the PKC route? PH26GB
 - Who do you think?
 - the new proposed route goes along the just below current path between the plantation and Muirward woods. It doesn't go through the woods, it's still in the plantation just higher up.
- I have given this more thought and looking at the map.....surely there is room for manoeuvre re the siting of the newly proposed road which would not involve destroying the deciduous woodland at Langedge which we, in Scone, should be cherishing and preserving for future generations
 - Agree, but the proposed route is still in the plantation, just away from the village and new houses.
- mind that there was route marked out away back in 1958/9 .. the same way as spoken again today.should have done that years ago it will relieve Perth a bit....all for it...
- I say move it Northwards, but the Council will do what the builders tell them to do. Same as ever.
- Please keep the road as planned. We cannot keep destroying our crucial green space. PH13 9PB
 - The planned route is within the plantation, not in the woods. Hope that clarifies
- North ph2 6rw
- North PH26LD
- North. PH2 6SJ
- H2 6NX. Keep the plan where it is. The deciduous forest should be left alone and let the plantation be destroyed.
 - The new proposed route goes along just below the current path between the plantation and Muirward woods. It doesn't go through the woods, only the top end of the plantation.
- Move it North away from houses and proposed school. Ph2 6qq