

SHIP Prioritisation

Methodology Prioritisation Framework Scoring

Introduction

The Prioritisation Framework provides a tool to prioritise investment which balances the Council's strategic objectives, deliverability, and community benefits. It is used to assess projects for inclusion in the SHIP, which will assist in deciding whether we bring projects forward to SHIP inclusion.

The framework does not provide the only assessment of priority and Perth and Kinross Council will continue to balance its investment decisions through a mixture of Council, Registered Social Landlord and Developer delivery by considering a range of affordable housing tenures to meet housing needs across its Housing Market Area.

As projects develop there may be a need to adjust to the scoring output as, for example, planning permission is granted, or wider community benefits are realised. This may cause the priority of a project to be revised during the life of the SHIP.

Scoring Criteria

1. Contribution to general unmet Housing Need:

What level of demand can we evidence for a project? How much need is there in the area for affordable housing? What are the waiting lists like? What are we predicting for future trends? The scoring structure for demand:

- High - between 7 and 10
- Medium - between 4 and 6
- Low - between 0 and 3

2. Inclusion, Equality and Meeting Particular Needs

If a project is planned to make additional provision for particular needs (such as wheelchair accessible housing) and/or meets a particular needs demand in an area it should score more highly.

- High - between 7 and 10
- Medium - between 4 and 6
- Low - between 0 and 3

3. Wider Community benefit and Regeneration:

If a project delivers wider community amenities or benefits that make a significant contribution to the regeneration of an area it should score more highly. Points should also be awarded if the project secures the future of a historic or listed building or re-uses a brownfield site.

- High - between 7 and 10
- Medium - between 4 and 6
- Low - between 0 and 3

4. Meets agreed strategic priority (Contribution to SOA and LHS Objectives)

Project is assessed in terms of the overall contribution it would make to achieve the strategic objectives of the Single Outcome Agreement and the Local Housing Strategy. The project is assessed depending upon how many objectives the project was assessed as contributing towards. The scoring structure:

- High - between 7 and 10
- Medium - between 4 and 6
- Low - between 0 and 3

5. Project Deliverability

This assesses whether or not a project is likely to be deliverable within the SHIP timescale. Factors that are considered are whether or not the site is in the ownership of Perth and Kinross or the RSL, and the progress anticipated to be achievable. The scoring structure:

- High - between 7 and 10
- Medium - between 4 and 6
- Low - between 0 and 3

Weighting of scores

Whilst all these measures are important considerations when deciding whether a project goes on to the SHIP, it's felt that the importance of criteria 1 should give it extra weighting relative to the other criteria.

Thus, the scoring will be out of a possible 60, with each of the 5 criteria scored out of 10 but with the score for criteria 1 doubled to reflect its importance.

The RAG rating for projects would be.

Low priority	Medium priority	High priority
1 – 20	20 - 40	40 +

Reds should be presented to the project board with a recommendation not to add to the SHIP, Ambers would be considered for inclusion after reviewing any other pertinent factors, Greens would be strong recommendation for inclusion and progression as a priority.