

LRB-2024-12 23/01906/FLL – Erection of a dwellinghouse, land 35 metres east of Gateside Cottage, Forteviot, Perth

PLANNING DECISION NOTICE

REPORT OF HANDLING

REFERENCE DOCUMENTS



Mr And Mrs Roberts c/o MCADS Matthew Cowan 28 King Street Perth PH2 8JB Pullar House 35 Kinnoull Street PERTH PH1 5GD

Date of Notice: 12th January 2024

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT

Application Reference: 23/01906/FLL

I am directed by the Planning Authority under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts currently in force, to refuse your application registered on 14th November 2023 for Planning Permission for **Erection of a dwellinghouse Land 35 Metres East Of Gateside Cottage Forteviot Perth PH2 9BU Forteviot.**

David Littlejohn Strategic Lead (Economy, Development and Planning)

Reasons for Refusal

- 1. The proposal is contrary to policy 17 Rural Homes of National Planning Framework 4 as it fails to meet any of the criteria within Policy 17a) and is not suitably scaled, sited or designed to be in keeping with the character of the area.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy 19 Housing in the Countryside of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019) and the Council's Housing in the Countryside Guide 2020 as the proposal fails to satisfactorily comply with any of the categories (1) Building Groups, (2) Infill Sites, (3) New Houses in the Open Countryside, (4) Renovation or Replacement of Houses, (5) Conversion or Replacement of Redundant Non Domestic Buildings, or (6) Rural Brownfield Land.
- 3. The proposal is contrary to Policy 1A and 1Bb), Placemaking, of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019). The proposed development would not contribute positively to the built and natural environment due to the un-contained nature of the site, its exposed position and the lack of a suitable well-established landscape framework. Development would therefore detract from the visual amenity and landscape character of the area.

- 4. The site is designated as prime agricultural land (Class 2). The proposal is contrary to policy 50 (Prime Agricultural Land) of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019) which does not support development on such land outwith settlement boundaries unless it is necessary to meet a specific established need.
- 5. The proposal is contrary to Policy 39 (Landscape) of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019) as it has not been demonstrated through assessment against a landscape capacity study that the site is appropriate for the development and meets the criteria in Policy 39 that seeks to maintain and enhance the landscape qualities of Perth and Kinross.

Justification

The proposal is not in accordance with the Development Plan and there are no material reasons which justify departing from the Development Plan.

Notes

The plans and documents relating to this decision are listed below and are displayed on Perth and Kinross Council's website at <u>www.pkc.gov.uk</u> "Online Planning Applications" page.

REPORT OF HANDLING

DELEGATED REPORT

Ref No	23/01906/FLL	
Ward No	P7- Strathallan	
Due Determination Date	13th January 2024	
Draft Report Date	12th January 2024	
Report Issued by	PB	Date12th January 2024
PROPOSAL:	Erection of a dwelli	

LOCATION: Land 35 Metres East Of Gateside Cottage Forteviot Perth PH2 9BU Forteviot

SUMMARY:

This report recommends **refusal** of the application as the development is considered to be contrary to the relevant provisions of the Development Plan and there are no material considerations apparent which justify setting aside the Development Plan.

BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

Full planning permission is sought for the erection of a dwellinghouse on land 35 metres to the east of Gateside Cottage near Forteviot. The site is around 3km to the north east of Dunning and to the southwest of Forteviot. There is a cluster of buildings to the north and west of the site across a B classified public road.

A planning application for the development of a single house was refused on this site in June 2023 (23/00453/FLL). An appeal against the refusal was dismissed by the Local Review Body on 6th December 2023.

This application is for a different design of house on the site and is of a lesser scale. The previous application sought to replicate the design of a large property to the southwest of Gateside Cottage that had been granted on appeal to the Local Review Body on 25th November 2020 (19/01870/FLL).

The site size has been slightly reduced in depth from around 85m to 65m when measured from the public road along the eastern boundary. Two sheds that were previously on the site, one approved under Prior Notification (12/01598/PNA) to support a small scale agricultural business are indicated on the existing site plan as being demolished. The site is laid to grass and has a hedge along its roadside boundary and along the southeastern boundary. There is no obvious boundary between the southeastern end of the site and the remainder of the applicant's landownership extending a further 85 metres or so.

The site is within the Ochil Hills Special Landscape Area and is identified as Class 2 prime agricultural land.

The previous proposal was for a large 4/5 bed detached dwellinghouse with accommodation over two levels. This new proposal is more modest but sill a fairly

substantial single storey property with three bedrooms. The finish is proposed as wet dash harling with a slate roof.

The front of the house is sited parallel to the public road towards the north eastern corner of the site, slightly further back than Gateside Cottage its nearest neighbour around 30m to the south west. A gas supply pipe runs through the site which restricts development on part of the land.

Access to the site is onto the B934 public road. The existing access is to be upgraded to improve visibility.

SITE HISTORY

00/00359/FUL Extension to house and erection of new garage at 4 April 2000 Application Approved

18/01598/PNA Erection of an agricultural storage building 11 October 2018 Application Approved

22/02159/FLL Alterations and extension to dwellinghouse 14 March 2023 Application Approved

23/00453/FLL Erection of a dwellinghouse 22 June 2023 Application Refused

PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION

Pre application Reference: None.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The Development Plan for the area comprises National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) and the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019) (LDP2).

National Planning Framework 4

The National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) is the Scottish Government's long-term spatial strategy with a comprehensive set of national planning policies. This strategy sets out how to improve people's lives by making sustainable, liveable and productive spaces.

NPF4 was adopted on 13 February 2023. NPF4 has an increased status over previous NPFs and comprises part of the statutory development plan.

The Council's assessment of this application has considered the following policies of NPF4 :

Policy 1: Tackling the Climate and Nature Crisis Policy 2: Climate Mitigation and Adaptation Policy 3: Biodiversity Policy 4: Natural Places Policy 5: Soils Policy 9: Brownfield, vacant and Policy 13: Sustainable Transport Policy 17: Rural Homes

Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 – Adopted November 2019

The Local Development Plan 2 (LDP2) is the most recent statement of Council policy and is augmented by Supplementary Guidance.

The principal policies are:

Policy 1A: Placemaking Policy 1B: Placemaking Policy 5: Infrastructure Contributions Policy 19: Housing in the Countryside Policy 26A: Scheduled Monuments and Archaeology: Scheduled Monuments Policy 32: Embedding Low & Zero Carbon Generating Technologies in New Development Policy 39: Landscape Policy 39: Landscape Policy 41: Biodiversity Policy 50: Prime Agricultural Land Policy 53B: Water Environment and Drainage: Foul Drainage Policy 53C: Water Environment and Drainage: Surface Water Drainage Policy 53E: Water Environment and Drainage: Water Supply Policy 60B: Transport Standards and Accessibility Requirements: New Development Proposals

Statutory Supplementary Guidance

- <u>Supplementary Guidance Developer Contributions & Affordable</u> <u>Housing</u> (adopted in 2020)
- <u>Supplementary Guidance Flood Risk and Flood Risk</u> <u>Assessments</u> (adopted in 2021)
- <u>Supplementary Guidance Housing in the Countryside</u> (adopted in 2020)
- <u>Supplementary Guidance Landscape</u> (adopted in 2020)
- <u>Supplementary Guidance Placemaking</u> (adopted in 2020)

OTHER POLICIES

Non Statutory Guidance

• Planning Guidance - Planning & Biodiversity

NATIONAL GUIDANCE

The Scottish Government expresses its planning policies through The National Planning Framework, Planning Advice Notes, Creating Places, Designing Streets, National Roads Development Guide and a series of Circulars.

Planning Advice Notes

The following Scottish Government Planning Advice Notes (PANs) and Guidance Documents are of relevance to the proposal:

- PAN 40 Development Management
- PAN 51 Planning, Environmental Protection and Regulation
- PAN 61 Planning and Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems
- PAN 68 Design Statements
- PAN 69 Planning and Building standards Advice on Flooding
- PAN 75 Planning for Transport
- PAN 77 Designing Safer Places

Creating Places 2013

Creating Places is the Scottish Government's policy statement on architecture and place. It sets out the comprehensive value good design can deliver. It notes that successful places can unlock opportunities, build vibrant communities and contribute to a flourishing economy and set out actions that can achieve positive changes in our places.

Designing Streets 2010

Designing Streets is the policy statement in Scotland for street design and changes the emphasis of guidance on street design towards place-making and away from a system focused upon the dominance of motor vehicles. It was created to support the Scottish Government's place-making agenda, alongside Creating Places.

National Roads Development Guide 2014

This document supports Designing Streets and expands on its principles and is considered to be the technical advice that should be followed in designing and approving of all streets including parking provision.

CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Scottish Water No objection. Capacity for water supply. No public foul water drainage infrastructure in the area. Private treatment options are required.

Transportation And Development No objection subject to condition and informative.

Environmental Health (Contaminated Land)

A search of the historic records did not raise any concerns regarding ground contamination. No adverse comments to make on the application.

Development Contributions Officer Transport infrastructure contribution required.

Environmental Health (Noise Odour)

No objection. Informative note requested with regard to wood burning stove.

REPRESENTATIONS

0 representations were received.

Additional Statements Received:

Screening Opinion	EIA Not Required
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA): Environmental Report	Not applicable
Appropriate Assessment under Habitats Regulations	Habitats Regulations AA Not Required
Design Statement or Design and Access Statement	Submitted
Report on Impact or Potential Impact eg Flood Risk Assessment	Not Required

APPRAISAL

Sections 25 and 37 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 require that planning decisions be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The Development Plan comprises NPF4 and the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2019. The relevant policy considerations are outlined in the policy section above and are considered in more detail below. In terms of other material considerations, involving considerations of the Council's other approved policies and supplementary guidance, these are discussed below only where relevant.

The determining issues in this case are whether; the proposal complies with development plan policy; or if there are any other material considerations which justify a departure from policy.

Policy Appraisal

The policy framework for assessment of this application has not changed since the previous refusal. The principle of residential development on this site is not supported by national or local planning policy.

Policy 9 b) of NPF4 states that proposals on greenfield sites will not be supported unless the site has been allocated for development or the proposal is explicitly supported by policies in the LDP.

Policy 17 of NPF4 promotes the development of rural homes. In particular support is given to development in previously inhabited areas with greater constraint being applied in areas of pressure. The Local Development Plan will identify the different approaches for different areas. NPF4 policy intent with regard to rural homes is to encourage, promote and facilitate the delivery of more high quality, affordable and sustainable homes in the right locations.

Policy 17 sets out 8 criteria for the development of suitably scale, sited and designed development that is in keeping with the character of the area. This proposal does not meet any of these criteria:

The site :

i.	Is not allocated for housing;
ii.	is not brownfield land;
iii.	does not reuse a redundant building;
iv.	does not secure a historic asset;
v.	is not necessary to support the sustainable management of a viable rural business;
vi.	is not retirement succession of a viable farm holding;
vii.	is not subdivision of an existing residential dwelling; and
viii.	does not reinstate a former dwellinghouse or is a one-for-one replacement of an existing permanent house.

NPF4 takes precedence over the Local Development Plan. However, the proposal is also contrary to policy 19 of the LDP.

The site is outwith any settlement boundary as defined in the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019). Policy 19 Housing in the Countryside applies to this proposal. This supports proposals for the erection of houses in the countryside subject to them falling into at least one of the following categories:

1) building Groups

2) infill sites

3) new houses in the open countryside on defined categories of sites as set out in section 4 of the Supplementary Guidance.

- 4) renovation or replacement of houses
- 5) conversion or replacement of redundant non-domestic buildings.

6) development on rural brownfield land.

Policy 19 of the LDP (2) is supplemented by the Housing in the Countryside Guide November 2020.

In this case the only section of the policy and guide that is relevant to this site is Category 1) Building Groups. This states that consent will be granted for houses within building groups provided they do not detract from the residential and visual amenity of the group. Consent will also be granted for houses which extend the group into definable sites formed by existing topography and or well established landscape features which will provide a suitable setting. A building group is defined as 3 or more buildings of a size at least equivalent to a traditional cottage, whether they are residential and / or business / agricultural nature. Small ancillary premises such as domestic garages and outbuildings will not be classed as buildings for the purposes of the policy.

In this case there are a collection of buildings in the area primarily to the north of the public road with two buildings: Gateside Cottage and a new house (Myretown House) to the south of the cottage. The proposed site does not meet the criteria of the policy for an extension of the building group. Although the new proposed house is smaller and sited differently this large rectangular field is not well integrated into the existing layout and building pattern and new housing development will detract from the visual amenity of the group when viewed from the wider landscape. The site also does not benefit from well-established landscape features which will provide a suitable setting. Whilst there is a hedge along the northeastern boundary this does not give a sufficient landscape framework for the development. There is no established boundary to the southeast of the site. The site is just one large field, previously operated as a small holding.

The principle of the development is therefore contrary to the NPF 4, policy 17, Rural Homes, and the Local Development Plan, Housing in the Countryside policy 19.

The proposal should also be considered in terms of placemaking policies that seek to ensure that development makes a positive contribution to the built and natural environment and to policies that seek to protect prime agricultural land and landscape character. Further discussion of these aspects is set out in the report below.

Design and Layout

The proposal is for a large single storey dwellinghouse. The siting and design of the proposed house is an improvement on the previous refusal. The building is orientated to better reflect the orientation of Gateside Cottage that is set parallel to the road. However Placemaking policy 1A still requires development to contribute positively to the built and natural environment and policy 1B requires developments to meet a range of placemaking criteria. Criterion b) requires proposals to respect site topography and the wider landscape character of the area. The site is in a relatively exposed position where there is limited development with existing development being largely to the north of the public road. Further development to the south of the road, as well as not being supported in principle, would detract from the established landscape character of the area.

The proposal is contrary to policy 1A in that it does not contribute positively to the built and natural environment and does not meet placemaking criteria set out in policy 1Bb.

Landscape

The site is within the Ochil Hills Special Landscape Area. LDP Policy 39 Landscape requires proposals, including individual developments, to demonstrate with reference to an appropriate landscape capacity study that they meet a number of criteria and do not conflict with the aim to maintain and enhance the landscape qualities of Perth and Kinross. Some information has been submitted to explain the rationale for the siting but no reference is made to any landscape capacity study as is required by policy 39. As such the proposal is contrary to policy 39, Landscape of the Local Development Plan.

Agricultural Land

The site is located on Class 2 agricultural land which is categorised as prime agricultural land. Policy 50, Prime Agricultural Land, does not support development on prime agricultural land outside of defined settlements unless it is necessary to meet a specific established need and there is no other suitable site available on non-prime land. The applicant has not demonstrated any specific agricultural or business need for the development or shown that this is the only site available for the development. Policy 50 seeks to protect prime agricultural land from development. Development of a house on this site would be contrary to policy 50 of the LDP.

Residential Amenity

The proposed dwellinghouse is over 30 metres from any neighbouring property. There will not be any adverse impact on residential amenity in terms of overlooking, impact on privacy or overshadowing. There is ample garden ground proposed for the property.

An informative note with regard to the operation of the proposed wood burning stove would be attached should the application be approved.

Visual Amenity

The development would be highly visible particularly when approaching from the northeast. Whilst there is some hedging to the front and side of the site this does not constitute a well-established landscape framework and the proposed development would detract from the visual amenity of the area.

Roads and Access

The site is adjacent to the B934 public road. The application is being recommended for refusal. However if approved a condition and informative note with regard to the access specification has been requested by Transport Planning.

Subject to condition the proposal accords with policy 60B Transport and Development requirements of the LDP.

Drainage and Flooding

The development would connect to a public water supply however private arrangements for foul drainage infrastructure would be required. An indicative location for a septic tank and soakaway is indicated on the submitted plans.

Conservation Considerations

The site is not within a conservation area. There are no listed buildings in the vicinity. The proposal would not impact on any assets of cultural heritage interest.

Natural Heritage and Biodiversity

Policy 3 of NPF4 requires local development to conserve, restore and enhance biodiversity. This proposal includes additional planting which would enhance biodiversity but this is quite limited in terms of its contribution to nature recovery and in strengthening nature networks.

Embedding Low and Zero Carbon Generating Technology in New Development

Policy 32 of the LDP requires that a proportion of the energy use of each building is provided by low and zero-carbon generating technology. If approved details of low or low or zero-carbon generating technology would be required to meet the terms of policy 32. This could be conditioned.

Developer Contributions Primary Education

The Council Developer Contributions Supplementary Guidance requires a financial contribution towards increased primary school capacity in areas where a primary school capacity constraint has been identified. A capacity constraint is defined as where a primary school is operating at over 80% and is likely to be operating following completion of the proposed development, extant planning permissions and Local Development Plan allocations, at or above 100% of total capacity.

This proposal is within the catchment of Dunning Primary School.

Education & Children's Services have no capacity concerns in this catchment area at this time. No education developer contribution is required.

Transport Infrastructure

The Council Transport Infrastructure Developer Contributions Supplementary Guidance requires a financial contribution towards the cost of delivering the transport infrastructure improvements which are required for the release of all development sites in and around Perth.

The site is located in the 'Reduced' Transport Infrastructure contributions zone (Appendix 3 of the Supplementary Guidance).

A Transport Infrastructure contribution of £2,742 is required.

Economic Impact

The economic impact of the proposal is likely to be minimal and limited to the construction phase of the development.

VARIATION OF APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 32A

This application was not varied prior to determination, in accordance with the terms of section 32A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997, as amended.

PLANNING OBLIGATIONS AND LEGAL AGREEMENTS

None required.

DIRECTION BY SCOTTISH MINISTERS

None applicable to this proposal.

CONCLUSION AND REASONS FOR DECISION

To conclude, the application must be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. In this respect, the proposal is considered to be contrary to the Development Plan. Account has been taken of the relevant material considerations and none has been found that would justify overriding the Development Plan.

Accordingly the proposal is refused on the grounds identified below.

Reasons

- 1. The proposal is contrary to policy 17 Rural Homes of National Planning Framework 4 as it fails to meet any of the criteria within Policy 17a) and is not suitably scaled, sited or designed to be in keeping with the character of the area.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to Policy 19 Housing in the Countryside of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019) and the Council's Housing in the Countryside Guide 2020 as the proposal fails to satisfactorily comply with any of the categories (1) Building Groups, (2) Infill Sites, (3) New Houses in the Open Countryside, (4) Renovation or Replacement of Houses, (5) Conversion or Replacement of Redundant Non Domestic Buildings, or (6) Rural Brownfield Land.
- 3. The proposal is contrary to Policy 1A and 1Bb), Placemaking, of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019). The proposed development would not contribute positively to the built and natural environment due to the uncontained nature of the site, its exposed position and the lack of a suitable well-established landscape framework. Development would therefore detract from the visual amenity and landscape character of the area.

- 4. The site is designated as prime agricultural land (Class 2). The proposal is contrary to policy 50 (Prime Agricultural Land) of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019) which does not support development on such land outwith settlement boundaries unless it is necessary to meet a specific established need.
- 5. The proposal is contrary to Policy 39 (Landscape) of the Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2 (2019) as it has not been demonstrated through assessment against a landscape capacity study that the site is appropriate for the development and meets the criteria in Policy 39 that seeks to maintain and enhance the landscape qualities of Perth and Kinross.

Justification

The proposal is not in accordance with the Development Plan and there are no material reasons which justify departing from the Development Plan.

Informatives

None.

Procedural Notes

Not Applicable.

PLANS AND DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THIS DECISION



Pullar House 35 Kinnoull Street Perth PH1 5GD Tel: 01738 475300 Fax: 01738 475310 Email: onlineapps@pkc.gov.uk

Applications cannot be validated until all the necessary documentation has been submitted and the required fee has been paid.

Thank you for completing this application form:

ONLINE REFERENCE 100622857-003

The online reference is the unique reference for your online form only. The Planning Authority will allocate an Application Number when your form is validated. Please quote this reference if you need to contact the planning Authority about this application.

Type of Application

What is this application for? Please select one of the following: *

- $\,T\,$ Application for planning permission (including changes of use and surface mineral working).
- ≤ Application for planning permission in principle.
- Surface Section Sec
- \leq Application for Approval of Matters specified in conditions.

Description of Proposal

Please describe the proposal including any change of use: * (Max 500 characters)

Erection of dwelling on land (paddock) belonging to Gateside Cottage

Is this a temporary permission? *	\leq Yes T No
If a change of use is to be included in the proposal has it already taken place? (Answer 'No' if there is no change of use.) *	\leq Yes T No
Has the work already been started and/or completed? *	
T No \leq Yes – Started \leq Yes - Completed	
Applicant or Agent Details	
Are you an applicant or an agent? * (An agent is an architect, consultant or someone else acting	
on behalf of the applicant in connection with this application)	≤ Applicant T Agent

Agent Details			
Please enter Agent details	S		
Company/Organisation:	MCADS		
Ref. Number:		You must enter a B	uilding Name or Number, or both: *
First Name: *	Matthew	Building Name:	
Last Name: *	Cowan	Building Number:	28
Telephone Number: *		Address 1 (Street): *	king street
Extension Number:		Address 2:	
Mobile Number:		Town/City: *	Perth
Fax Number:		Country: *	Scotland
		Postcode: *	PH2 8JB
Email Address: *			
	ual or an organisation/corporate entity? *		
Applicant Det	ails		
Please enter Applicant de	tails		
Title:	Other		
Other Title:	Mr. & Mrs		
First Name: *			
Last Name: *	Roberts		
Company/Organisation			
Telephone Number: *			
Extension Number:			
Mobile Number:			
Fax Number:			
Email Address: *			

Site Address D	Details			
Planning Authority:	Perth and Kinross Council			
Full postal address of the s	ite (including postcode where available	ə):		
Address 1:				
Address 2:				
Address 3:				
Address 4:				
Address 5:				
Town/City/Settlement:				
Post Code:			5	
Please identify/describe the	e location of the site or sites			
40m East of Gateside Co	ottage			
Northing 7	17053	Easting	304162	
	13	2001.19		
Pre-Applicatio	n Discussion			
Have you discussed your p	proposal with the planning authority? *		T Yes \leq No	
Pre-Application Discussion Details Cont.				
In what format was the feed	dback given? *			
\leq Meeting \leq Telephone \leq Letter T Email				
Please provide a description of the feedback you were given and the name of the officer who provided this feedback. If a processing agreement [note 1] is currently in place or if you are currently discussing a processing agreement with the planning authority, please provide details of this. (This will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently.) * (max 500 characters)				
Email / phone discussion on adjusting some items to 'improve' overall proposal. This application is intended to be a re-submission of the former application - not requiring a fee.				
Title:	Ms	Other title:		
First Name:	Persephone	Last Name:	Beer	
Correspondence Reference 23/00453/FLL Date (dd/mm/yyyy): 14/08/2023				
	ement involves setting out the key stag from whom and setting timescales for			

Site Area		
Please state the site area:	2222.00	
Please state the measurement type used:	\leq Hectares (ha) T Square Metres (sq.m)	
Existing Use		
Please describe the current or most recent use: *	(Max 500 characters)	
Private use, paddock - see design statement.		
Access and Parking		
Are you proposing a new altered vehicle access	to or from a public road? *	T Yes \leq No
	is the position of any existing. Altered or new access i	
you propose to make. You should also show exis	ting footpaths and note if there will be any impact on t	these.
Are you proposing any change to public paths, p	ublic rights of way or affecting any public right of acce	ss?* ≤ Yes T No
	of any affected areas highlighting the changes you p	
arrangements for continuing or alternative public		
How many vehicle parking spaces (garaging and	open parking) currently exist on the application	2
Site?		<u> </u>
How many vehicle parking spaces (garaging and Total of existing and any new spaces or a reduce	open parking) do you propose on the site (i.e. the d number of spaces)? *	4
Please show on your drawings the position of exiting types of vehicles (e.g. parking for disabled people	sting and proposed parking spaces and identify if these coaches. HGV vehicles, cycles spaces)	se are for the use of particular
·/····································	,,,,,,	
Water Supply and Drainag	e Arrangements	
Will your proposal require new or altered water s	upply or drainage arrangements? *	T Yes \leq No
		1 100 - 110
Are you proposing to connect to the public draina	ge network (eg. to an existing sewer)? *	
\leq Yes – connecting to public drainage network		
T No – proposing to make private drainage and	kom state state konstantik se	
Solution Not Applicable – only arrangements for wate	er suppiy required	
As you have indicated that you are proposing to	nake private drainage arrangements, please provide	further details.
What private arrangements are you proposing? *		
T New/Altered septic tank.		
S Treatment/Additional treatment (relates to pa	ckage sewage treatment plants, or passive sewage tr	reatment such as a reed bed).
\leq Other private drainage arrangement (such as	chemical toilets or composting toilets).	
What private arrangements are you proposing fo	r the New/Altered septic tank? *	
T Discharge to land via soakaway.		
Solution Sol	l soakaway).	
\leq Discharge to coastal waters.		

Please explain your private drainage arrangements briefly here and show more details on your plans and supporting	g information: *
Treated foul waste via aerated septic tank, discharge to ground, surface water discharge to ground.	
Do your proposals make provision for sustainable drainage of surface water?? * (e.g. SUDS arrangements) *	Yes \leq No
Note:-	
Please include details of SUDS arrangements on your plans	
Selecting 'No' to the above question means that you could be in breach of Environmental legislation.	
Are you proposing to connect to the public water supply network? *	
T Yes	
Solution No, using a private water supply	
Source No connection required	
If No, using a private water supply, please show on plans the supply and all works needed to provide it (on or off site	e).
Assessment of Flood Risk	
Is the site within an area of known risk of flooding? * $\rm ~\leq~Yes~T$	No \leq Don't Know
If the site is within an area of known risk of flooding you may need to submit a Flood Risk Assessment before your a determined. You may wish to contact your Planning Authority or SEPA for advice on what information may be required.	
Do you think your proposal may increase the flood risk elsewhere? * $~\leq~{\rm Yes}~T$	No ≤ Don't Know
Trees	
Are there any trees on or adjacent to the application site? * T	$_{\rm Yes} \leq$ No
If Yes, please mark on your drawings any trees, known protected trees and their canopy spread close to the propos any are to be cut back or felled.	sal site and indicate if
Waste Storage and Collection	
Do the plans incorporate areas to store and aid the collection of waste (including recycling)? * T	$_{\rm Yes} \leq$ No
If Yes or No, please provide further details: * (Max 500 characters)	
Hard standing to be provided in non visible area to locate waste and recycling bins.	
Residential Units Including Conversion	
Residential Units Including Conversion	
Does your proposal include new or additional houses and/or flats? *	$_{\rm Yes} \leq ~_{\rm No}$

How many units do you propose in total? *		
Please provide full details of the number and types of units on the plans. Additional information may be provide statement.	ed in a supporting	
All Types of Non Housing Development – Proposed New Fl	oorspace	
Does your proposal alter or create non-residential floorspace? *	\leq Yes T No	
Schedule 3 Development		
Does the proposal involve a form of development listed in Schedule 3 of the Town and Country Search Planning (Development Management Procedure (Scotland) Regulations 2013 *	T No \leq Don't Know	
If yes, your proposal will additionally have to be advertised in a newspaper circulating in the area of the development. Your planning authority will do this on your behalf but will charge you a fee. Please check the planning authority's website for advice on the additional fee and add this to your planning fee.		
If you are unsure whether your proposal involves a form of development listed in Schedule 3, please check the notes before contacting your planning authority.	Help Text and Guidance	
Planning Service Employee/Elected Member Interest		
Is the applicant, or the applicant's spouse/partner, either a member of staff within the planning service or an elected member of the planning authority? *	\leq Yes T No	
Certificates and Notices		
CERTIFICATE AND NOTICE UNDER REGULATION 15 – TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPME PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATION 2013	ENT MANAGEMENT	
One Certificate must be completed and submitted along with the application form. This is most usually Certificate Certificate B, Certificate C or Certificate E.	ate A, Form 1,	
Are you/the applicant the sole owner of ALL the land? *	T Yes \leq No	
Is any of the land part of an agricultural holding? *	\leq Yes T No	
Certificate Required		
The following Land Ownership Certificate is required to complete this section of the proposal:		

Certificate A

Land Ownership Certificate

Certificate and Notice under Regulation 15 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013

Certificate A

I hereby certify that -

(1) - No person other than myself/the applicant was an owner (Any person who, in respect of any part of the land, is the owner or is the lessee under a lease thereof of which not less than 7 years remain unexpired.) of any part of the land to which the application relates at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the accompanying application.

(2) - None of the land to which the application relates constitutes or forms part of an agricultural holding

Signed: Matthew Cowan

On behalf of: Mr. & Mrs . Roberts

Date: 13/11/2023

 $\, \mathrm{T}\,$ Please tick here to certify this Certificate. *

Checklist – Application for Planning Permission

Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997

The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013

Please take a few moments to complete the following checklist in order to ensure that you have provided all the necessary information in support of your application. Failure to submit sufficient information with your application may result in your application being deemed invalid. The planning authority will not start processing your application until it is valid.

a) If this is a further application where there is a variation of conditions attached to a previous consent, have you provided a statement to that effect? *

 \leq Yes \leq No T Not applicable to this application

b) If this is an application for planning permission or planning permission in principal where there is a crown interest in the land, have you provided a statement to that effect? *

 \leq Yes \leq No T Not applicable to this application

c) If this is an application for planning permission, planning permission in principle or a further application and the application is for development belonging to the categories of national or major development (other than one under Section 42 of the planning Act), have you provided a Pre-Application Consultation Report? *

 \leq Yes \leq No T Not applicable to this application

Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997

The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013

d) If this is an application for planning permission and the application relates to development belonging to the categories of national or major developments and you do not benefit from exemption under Regulation 13 of The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013, have you provided a Design and Access Statement? *

 \leq Yes \leq No T Not applicable to this application

e) If this is an application for planning permission and relates to development belonging to the category of local developments (subject to regulation 13. (2) and (3) of the Development Management Procedure (Scotland) Regulations 2013) have you provided a Design Statement? *

 \leq Yes \leq No T Not applicable to this application

f) If your application relates to installation of an antenna to be employed in an electronic communication network, have you provided an ICNIRP Declaration? *

 \leq Yes \leq No T Not applicable to this application

g) If this is an application for planning permission, pla	anning permission in principle,	an application for approval of matters specified in
conditions or an application for mineral development.	have you provided any other	plans or drawings as necessary:

T Site Layout Plan or Block plan.

- T Elevations.
- T Floor plans.
- T Cross sections.
- T Roof plan.
- ≤ Master Plan/Framework Plan.
- T Landscape plan.
- T Photographs and/or photomontages.
- \leq Other.

If Other, please specify: * (Max 500 characters)

Provide copies of the following documents if applicable:	

A copy of an Environmental Statement. *	\leq Yes I N/A
A Design Statement or Design and Access Statement. *	T Yes \leq N/A
A Flood Risk Assessment. *	\leq Yes T N/A
A Drainage Impact Assessment (including proposals for Sustainable Drainage Systems). *	\leq Yes T N/A
Drainage/SUDS layout. *	\leq Yes T N/A
A Transport Assessment or Travel Plan	\leq Yes T N/A
Contaminated Land Assessment. *	\leq Yes T N/A
Habitat Survey. *	\leq Yes T N/A
A Processing Agreement. *	\leq Yes T N/A

Other Statements (please specify). (Max 500 characters)


Declare – For Application to Planning Authority


I, the applicant/agent certify that this is an application to the planning authority as described in this form. The accompanying Plans/drawings and additional information are provided as a part of this application.

Declaration Name: Mr Matthew Cowan

Declaration Date: 13/11/2023

T





Existing Block Plan









Existing Overall 3D view





Existing Context 3D View - North



Proposed Context 3D View - North



Existing Context 3D View - West



Proposed Context 3D View - West

Rer G: Boundary size reduced again 09-11-23 Rer F: New Dveiling, boundary 05-07-23 Rer D: General update 19-03-23 Rer D: General update after design meeting 07-03-23 Rers C: General update after design meeting 21-02-23 Rers A: Update to made entrance area egen update 23-12-22 Rers A: Update to made entrance area egen update 23-12-22

1	New Dwelling at Gateside Cottage, Forteviot,	∞ A574 03G ∞ 17/12/22
-	Perth, PH2 9BU Site 3D views 1	MCADS 07736 858 814 01738 587 816
	Sketch Design Mr. & Mrs. Roberts	MCADS.CO.UK







Image showing view from Drumearn Junction July 23



Proposed 3D View from Drumearn Junction



Existing Context 3D View - South



Proposed Context 3D View - South




Existing Context 3D View - East




Proposed Context 3D View - East

Rev C: Boundary size reduced again 08-11-23 Rev B: New Dwelling, boundary, 30s 06-07-23 Rev A: General update 19-03-23

1	New Dwelling at Gateside Cottage, Forteviot,	∞ A574 04C ∞ 07/03/23
-	Perth, PH2 9BU Site 3D views 2	MCADS 07736 858 814 01738 587 816
liege .	Mr. & Mrs. Roberts	MCADS.CO.UK at long illows, hutb. (NG IL 2009




Proposed Block Plan










Proposed North West Elevation

Proposed South West Elevation

Proposed South East Elevation



Proposed 3D View



1	New Dwelling at Gateside Coltage, Forteviol, Perth, PH2 9BU	- A574 09A	
		MCADS	
	Proposed Gate	07736 858 814 01738 587 816 MCADS.CO.UK	
leşi	Planning	→ 1:20	
ted.	Mr. & Mrs. Roberts	sa A1	



Proposed Ground Floor Plan

Proposed North East Elevation






3 4 5 m m m


2780

15000









MCADS.co.uk

Matthew Cowan MCADS 28 King Street Perth PH2 8JB

07736 858 814 01738 587 816 mail@MCADS.co.uk www.MCADS.co.uk



DESIGN AND ACCESS - SUPPORTING STATEMENT: ERECTION OF NEW DWELLING ON LAND AT GATESIDE COTTAGE, FORTEVIOT, PERTHSHIRE



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

planning permission + building warrant + extensions + attic / loft conversions + 3D visualisation + conservatories + renovations + conversions + new build + BIM

Legal / Copyright / Disclaimer

This document has been prepared for the confidential use of applicant only and cannot be reproduced in whole or part. Nor can this be used by and third party for any use whatsoever, without MCADS express written authorisation. MCADS accepts no liability for the use of information in this document.

Overview

The proposal is to erect and form a One and a half storey dwelling on a defined area of land connected to an existing domestic property. We believe the proposal can be a positive addition to the local area whilst complying with relevant Planning policies.

Existing Site

The existing land to be utilised has been most recently used as a paddock.

This portion of land has been tied to property 'Gateside Cottage' as a paddock for approx. 10 years.

The land has also been used for growing plants / vegetables – some in raised boxes, additionally apple trees, strawberries, potatoes. This is partly illustrated in recent satellite photos – although all of this has been in a domestic capacity (non-commercial) for Gateside Cottage.

The site had a previous outline planning approval several years ago.

The plot size is 2,222m2 – this relates to the red line boundary on all drawings. The boundary (red line) has mature hedges around the majority of the physical delineation of the land - with some areas being post and wire fences. This includes the adjoining boundary with Gateside Cottage

The remaining land (blue boundary) under same ownership is 5358m2

There is no flood risk – although no formal assessment has been carried out. Its local and wider topography along with nearby lower level watercourses highlight that there is no existing issues to allow for.

There is a gas main line that runs through the site as indicated on the site plans – this to some degree dictates the new property shape, orientation and local position within the site. This has been taken into account allowing for tolerance.

Image below shows site satellite image approx. 2022

Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist



Proposed Site

Other than for access no new boundary treatment is proposed to the external footprint of the larger site. There is however a new internal hedge intended to be planted to tie in with the existing hedges.

The proposed site and in particular the area considered to become fully domestic – (land within the curtilage of the property) sits centrally relative to the larger group of buildings (both sides of road) in this area.

We feel the domestic site area is suitable proportioned allowing plenty of natural space to surround the dwelling. The ground to property footprint is considered proportionate relative to the majority of nearby residential properties (reduced footprint from a previous application).

Context

The site sits within a settlement area known as 'Broomhill'. There are two residential properties to the south west, two residential properties to the West with adjacent agricultural building. A large residential property and agricultural building to the North West, Two residential properties to the North. There are three+ further residential houses and various farm related agricultural buildings just beyond this to the North.

The majority of the residential properties have some traditional features – i.e. a slate roof with stone or light render walls. The various agricultural buildings match the above or have corrugated metal walls and roofs.

A small wood known as 'Myretown Wood' sits to the south – the name suggesting possible reference to a historic settlement even further south of which there Is now no observable evidence of its existence.

Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

Planning

Recent nearby planning approvals including the creation of a 4 unit development via a 'steading conversion'.

There is no planning history on the area of land we propose to build on.

Proposed Paddock

The remaining portion of the paddock (the majority of the red line boundary) is to remain as a paddock e.g. for horses or possible grazing of animals.

History


There is limited if any documented history of the immediate area.

It is understood the Gateside Cottage is around 70 years old.

Since Gateside Cottage was built – other buildings have been erected – both residential and rural leaning structures.

The most recent planning activity was for the erection of a detached dwelling with stand alone garage on the outskirts of the building group. Following its approval this was erected and is now fully complete.

Image below shows layout of buildings prior to 1958



Physical appearance

Our proposal is to create an attractive home that will compliment adjacent buildings around it. For example, a natural slate roof, with render and natural stone elements as highlights. It

Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

would tie in with the general shape, proportions and rural styling of smaller adjacent properties.

The property has been designed to drawer elements of the traditional structures around it, for example the simple render finish as its typical for cottage properties in the area.

Mock rendered chimney carry over the traditional features of nearby properties. Similarly the storey matches some of the cottage style of nearby properties including Gateside Cottage. The private side of the dwelling to the south which is not exposed has an increased glazing to wall ratio to take advantage of solar gain and views into the private garden space and beyond.

The overall proposal would therefore tie in with the character of the local area and for example typical conservation areas and therefore should improve upon the structures in its vicinity.

The position of the existing gas mains pipe pushes the property to one side and suggests a more linear footprint design to sit within the more open space. Whilst this proportion can be understood from plan view – the rest of property is designed to keep the overall shape in proportion by limiting full height walls to only the central part of the property for example.

Dimensions -the building is 15m wide x 16m deep at maximum parts with the main part of the structure being 7.2m wide. The main roof has a height of 5.1m approx.

The majority of buildings in the local vicinity sit parallel to the road, we have replicated this whilst allowing for the site constraint of an existing gas pipe. We note also that the size and orientation of our property creates some symmetry central to 'Gateside Cottage' when compared with 'Myretown House'

Access

The access would primarily be from the B934 Road. A new entrance area would be formed – (upgraded from an existing access). This entrance sits on the edge of slight concave curve in the road.

The new entrance would provide generous access area – this creates improved visibility. It also allows for the possibility of a vehicle entering the site whilst another is about to exit. This property provides ample storage of bicycles for carbon free transport to nearby shops.

Parking

The sizeable plot offers space for 3+ cars and an area for turning a vehicle to leave in a forward gear. To confirm the site and the driveway layout allows for this provision comfortably.

Residential Amenity

Whilst this is a rural location – basic amenities in Dunning are 2 miles away. The nearest residential property would be 40m away (Gateside Cottage) measured over a new access road to the paddock. This access would provide additional screening to both properties showing that overlooking will not be an issue.

Services

The property has easy access for new supplies of Mains power, Mains Gas, Mains Water supply and BT.

Waste and recycling.

Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

The property has a hard standing facility near the access entrance. This location is not visible from the road of from the adjacent properties. The generous entrance area on the road side – allows for safe positioning of wheelie bins without fouling safe access to the property.

Sustainability

Energy; A mains electrical supply will be installed, similarly for Gas. Solar panels are intended to be installed. High performance glazing will be fitted. Low energy lighting fitted throughout the dwelling.

As the property has a bus route this reduces the potential of the carbon footprint of the property. The material choice of the dwelling maximises the structure's longevity.

The site provides ample external drying spaces. Similarly the property has internal drying space.

Construction stage

The site allows for plenty of onsite storage meaning deliveries can be minimised.

There is also space on site for secure storage.

The gas main that runs through the site may require additional efforts at construction stage e.g. pipe protection at ground level to avoid risk from plant / machinery.

As the site area is generous this can be utilised to help maintain safe working practices.

Policy Consideration

TAYplan strategic development plan

We believe our proposal ties in with the principles of this document – providing an attractive sustainable and energy efficient home for the future – a property that caters for the requirements of the those living within it – catering for future needs.

Perth and Kinross Local Development Plan 2;

Policy 1: Placemaking

The property with its proportioned design, sitting at single storey height on level ground behind mature hedging ties in with existing domestic built surroundings. New planting including trees add to the attractive setting of our proposals.

The use of trees also intends to visually soften the proposals overall so that solid materials are not overly dominant when looking at the site.

Our proposal (see site plans) show that the siting of the dwelling provides sufficient space between the nearest buildings to avoid visual crowding. Whilst at the same time having a spacing distance consistent with other adjacent properties.

The property avoids features which could be considered suburban and maintains a maximum overall height consistent with adjacent buildings.

No topographical changes are proposed.

Policy 5: Infrastructure Contributions

Noted and accepted. (also developer contributions for education are noted and accepted.)

Policy 6: Settlement Boundaries

No formal settlement boundary is present – however we note the site sits centrally to all existing structures – including dwellings.

Policy 19: Housing in the countryside -

Building Groups – the proposed site sits very central to the existing building group (allowing for both sides of the road) thereby not extending or pushing at an outer notional settlement boundary. The property as proposed is of traditional design and proportions – it

Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

will use traditional finishing materials therefore respecting existing building character but sitting as an attractive addition to the area.

Whilst the proposal is not considered to be a replacement of an existing building, the proposal includes removing a un-used agricultural shed.

Policy 32: Embedding Low and Zero Carbon Generating Technology in New Development This property will comply with this policy. The proposed house will be energy efficient using at least one 'green' technology. The use of solar panels are illustrated within our drawings.

Policy 39: Landscape

Our proposals show that the vast majority of 'green land' – including to the fully domestic space will remain green. With the majority of the land around the house maintained as a lawn. Existing mature hedges will be maintained (as an asset) along the boundaries with new hedging to encourage ecology and wildlife. Other than the property roof only small areas of non-porous surfaces are intend. The planting of trees is intended to replicate intermittent tree positions in the area. There are no tree removals proposed (none on site), therefore we consider our proposals to be an improvement as regards this policy. Given the location of the proposed property there would be no realistic change of impact on protected species.

The site also has existing planted areas – one area is to be changed to be an orchard and the other devoted to wild flower planting to encourage natural mixed use of the ground – see drawings.

Policy 50: Prime Agricultural Land

Whilst the existing use of the land in question would have previously been considered full agricultural land its most recent use is of a paddock and (semi domestic use) – there is no negative impact on any farmland e.g. production of food on arable land – relative to the current setup. Similarly the properties small footprint does not make a significant impact of creating produce.

Policy 53: Water Environment and Drainage

This property can meet the requirements of this policy. Proposed foul waste is intended to be treated on site within the ample grounds. Surface water is intended to be dispersed into the ground on site. A new mains supplied water supply is to be installed.

Policy 60: Transport Standards and Accessibility Requirements

A bus stop is present for a 'no.17' bus which stops less than 100m to the North East of the proposed property – this route connects Perth to Dunning.

Comments / Notes

This property has a design / layout which allows for changing needs of a family, allowing for inclusivity and accessibility of people with different needs. This is achieved with the following; room(s) that can be used as a bedroom or office on the ground floor. Accessible features with full sanitary facilities on the ground floor. Level access around the building including from driveway.

Conclusion

Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist


We believe the proposals tie in with Planning Policy – adjoining a building group - that it takes account of local and site context. The proposal is designed to be an attractive addition to the immediate surroundings.

Therefore, we urge approval of the proposal as illustrated in our application.

Photos

See document A574-DOC-29 for photos which have been taken March 2023 illustrate various components of the site; context, building density, topography, natural features, skyline. Photos have a description and reference should be made to Drawing A574-05 to orientation and position.

Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist







Matthew Cowan MCADS 28 King Street Perth PH2 8JB

07736 858 814 01738 587 816 mail@MCADS.co.uk www.MCADS.co.uk



PHOTOS WITH DESCRIPTIONS: ERECTION OF NEW DWELLING ON LAND AT GATESIDE COTTAGE, FORTEVIIOT, PERTHSHIRE



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

Legal / Copyright / Disclaimer

This document has been prepared for the confidential use of applicant only and cannot be reproduced in whole or part. Nor can this be used by and third party for any use whatsoever, without MCADS express written authorisation. MCADS accepts no liability for the use of information in this document.

Photos

In our design statement (Document ref; A574-DOC-28) it makes reference to this document to illustrate various components of the site; context, building density, topography, natural features, skyline. Photos have a description and reference as below and using Drawing A574-05 will allow the photo orientation and position to be better understood.

Photos have been grouped into four areas; 1. General site photos 2. Access to the site. 3. the remaining paddock area. 4. Other items

S01 – Photo looking North West Showing full area of red line boundary (not delineated)



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

S02 – Photo looking North West

Showing Western most part of site towards entrance



S03 – Photo looking West

Showing boundary between paddock and Gateside Cottage then Myretown House



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

S04 – Photo looking North West Showing North West boundary against road



S05 – Photo looking North Showing main part of site.



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM 4 of 14

S06 – Photo looking North Showing junction of Northern boundaries



S07 – Photo looking North Showing North East boundary with propertoes in the background



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM 5 of 14

S08 – Photo looking North West Showing boundary with fence and hedge



S09 – Photo looking East Showing area of red line boundary site



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM

S10 – Photo looking South

Showing boundaries to South West and 'Myretown Wood' in the background



S11 – Photo looking West Showing boundaries and neighbouring properties



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist



A2 – Photo looking North East Showing view up road



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM 8 of 14

A3 – Photo looking North East

Showing view partly obscured by fence and hedge - (which is to be adjusted)



A4 – Photo looking South West Showing view down road



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM 9 of 14



M1 – Photo looking North East Showing gate from Gateside Cottage into paddock



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM 10 of 14

M2 – Photo looking North

Showing legal boundary of fence (red line boundary) with hedge set back



M3 – Photo looking North West Showing agricultural building and shed to be demolished



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist

M4 – Photo looking West

Showing delineated patch of ground formerly used for growing of various items



P1 – Photo looking North West Showing paddock in foreground



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist


P2 – Photo looking North East Showing South East boundary of paddock



P3 – Photo looking North Showing North East boundary of paddock



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM 13 of 14



P5 – Photo looking South

Showing South Western boundary of paddock



Matthew Cowan Architectural Design Services • Architectural Technologist planning permission • building warrant • extensions • attic / loft conversions • 3D visualisation • conservatories • renovations • conversions • new build • BIM 14 of 14