

PERTH AND KINROSS LOCAL REVIEW BODY

Minute of meeting of the Perth and Kinross Local Review Body held virtually on Tuesday 12 October 2021 at 10.30am.

Present: Councillors L Simpson, B Brawn and I James.

In Attendance: D Harrison (Planning Adviser), G Fogg (Legal Adviser) and D Williams (Committee Officer) (all Corporate and Democratic Services).

Also Attending: A Brown, M Pasternak (both Corporate and Democratic Services).

1. WELCOME

Councillor Simpson welcomed all present to the meeting.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no Declarations of Interest made in terms of the Councillors Code of Conduct.

3. MINUTES

The minute of meeting of the Local Review Body of 14 September 2021 was submitted and noted.

4. APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW

- (ii) **LRB-2021-29**
Planning Application – 21/00974/FLL – Alterations and extension to dwellinghouse, The Granary, 2 Arlary Steadings, Milnathort – Mrs C Findlay

Members considered a Notice of Review seeking a review of the decision by the Appointed Officer to refuse alterations and extension to dwellinghouse, The Granary, 2 Arlary Steadings, Milnathort.

The Planning Adviser displayed photographs of the site and described the proposal, and thereafter summarised the Appointed Officer's Report of Handling and the grounds set out in the Notice of Review.

Decision:

Resolved by unanimous decision that:

- (i) having regard to the material before the Local Review Body and the comments from the Planning Adviser, sufficient information was before the Local Review Body to determine the matter without further procedure.

Thereafter, resolved by majority decision that:

- (ii) the review application for alterations and extension to dwellinghouse, The Granary, 2 Arlary Steadings, Milnathort, be granted, subject to:
1. The imposition of relevant conditions.

Justification

It was considered that the proposal, with the imposition of conditions, is in accordance with the Development Plan. Members considered that the proposal was sympathetic to the listed building as a replacement to the existing extension on the dwellinghouse. It was also noted that extension was not open to view from surrounding public places.

Note: Councillor Simpson dissented from the majority opinion. He considered that the proposal was not in accordance with the Development Plan. He made specific reference to the proposed overhanging element of the proposal being inappropriate. Therefore, he considered that the proposal was not in accordance with the Development Plan and the Appointed Officer's decision should be upheld.